

## PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/143054>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-05 and may be subject to change.


THE EFFECT OF SHIFT REAGENT ON THE CONFORMATIONAL EQUILIBRIUM  
OF 3,3'-DISUBSTITUTED DIPHENYLSULFINES<sup>1</sup>

A. Tangerman and B. Zwanenburg\*

Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Nijmegen,  
Toernooiveld, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

(Received in UK 29 October 1973; accepted for publication 15 November 1973)

Shift reagents have widely been used in NMR spectroscopy<sup>2</sup>, particularly in the determination of molecular geometry. So far little attention has been given to its use in the study of mobile conformational equilibria. The use of shift reagents in such mobile systems can give rise to NMR spectra which are difficult to interpret<sup>3</sup>. Some authors<sup>4,5</sup> recognized the possibility that complexation with shift reagents can change the conformational preference, others<sup>6,7</sup> neglected such effects. In one instance<sup>8</sup>, *viz.* of the conformational equilibrium of cyclic phosphonates, the perturbation caused by added shift reagents has been treated quantitatively. The sum of the percentages of uncomplexed and complexed conformers was obtained from an analysis of coupling constants.




- Ia: X = Cl  
Ib: X = NO<sub>2</sub>  
Ic: X = DCH<sub>3</sub>  
Id: X = CH<sub>3</sub>

In this paper we wish to present an analysis of the conformer populations of 3,3'-disubstituted diphenylsulfines (I) in the complexed state by means of chemical shift differences and to compare these conformer populations with those

in the uncomplexed state. In addition, the solvent dependency on the conformational equilibrium in the complexed state is being compared with that in the uncomplexed state.

The system of 3,3'-disubstituted diphenylsulfines can be described<sup>9</sup> as an equilibrium between the two rotamers<sup>10</sup> P and Q, which differ in the relative orientation of the group dipole moments of the substituent X and the CSO group ( $\mu_{CSO} = 3.62$  D, directed towards the sulfine oxygen with an angle of 25° with the carbon sulfur bond<sup>11</sup>).



The proportions of these rotamers in different media have been obtained<sup>9</sup> by an evaluation of the difference in anisotropic deshielding effect of the CSO system on the protons H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>. It was found that the rotational equilibrium strongly depends on the polarity of the solvent in the sense that the

population of the more polar rotamer (P for X = Cl or NO<sub>2</sub> and Q for X = OCH<sub>3</sub> or CH<sub>3</sub>) increases with increasing solvent polarity.

The shift reagent, Eu(dpm)<sub>3</sub>, complexes with sulfines at the sulfine oxygen<sup>12</sup>. Consequently the LI shifts for the *ortho* protons of the A-ring will be much larger than for those of the B-ring. Furthermore, complexation of Eu(dpm)<sub>3</sub> with rotamer P will show a much larger downfield shift for proton H<sub>1</sub>, which is situated closest to the CSD system, than for proton H<sub>5</sub>. The reverse will be true for the complexed rotamer Q.

The proportions of the rotamers P and Q in the complexed state can be obtained as follows. When *z* equiv. of shift reagent are added, we assume that for a 1:1 complexation a fraction *z* of the total amount of sulfine is in the complexed state. This fraction *z* consists of a proportion *a* of rotamer P and a proportion *b* of rotamer Q. The LI shifts of protons H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>5</sub> ( $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_5$ ) are expressed by the equations<sup>13</sup> (1) and (2) in which  $\delta(H_1)_{\text{free}}$  is the  $\delta$ -value of proton H<sub>1</sub> in the spectrum without Eu(dpm)<sub>3</sub> and  $P_1^{\text{max}}$  is the LI shift of H<sub>1</sub> upon addition of one equiv. of Eu(dpm)<sub>3</sub> for *a* = 1.  $\delta(H_5)_{\text{free}}$ ,  $Q_1^{\text{max}}$ ,  $P_5^{\text{max}}$  and  $Q_5^{\text{max}}$  are defined analogously.

$$(1) \Delta_1 = \delta(H_1)_{\text{exp}} - \delta(H_1)_{\text{free}} = z (aP_1^{\text{max}} + bQ_1^{\text{max}})$$

$$(2) \Delta_5 = \delta(H_5)_{\text{exp}} - \delta(H_5)_{\text{free}} = z (aP_5^{\text{max}} + bQ_5^{\text{max}})$$

By making the assumptions that  $P_1^{\text{max}} = Q_5^{\text{max}}$  and  $P_5^{\text{max}} = Q_1^{\text{max}}$ , which means that the effect of the position of the substituent X on the coordination of Eu(dpm)<sub>3</sub> with the CSD group is being neglected, the expression  $P_1/(P_1 + Q_5)$  becomes a measure for the proportion *a* (equation 3).


$$(3) \frac{P_1}{P_1 + Q_5} = \frac{\Delta_1 - zbQ_1^{\text{max}}}{\Delta_1 - zbQ_1^{\text{max}} + \Delta_5 - zaP_5^{\text{max}}} = a$$

$P_1$  stands for the LI shift of proton H<sub>1</sub> in the conformer P upon addition of *z* equiv. of Eu(dpm)<sub>3</sub>.  $Q_5$  represents the LI shift of proton H<sub>5</sub> in conformer Q for a given amount of shift reagent. However, the parameters to calculate *a* from equation (3) are experimentally only partly available. The expression (4) also equals to *a* provided that the assumptions  $P_1^{\text{max}} = Q_5^{\text{max}}$  and  $P_5^{\text{max}} = Q_1^{\text{max}}$  are incorporated.

$$(4) \frac{\Delta_1 - zQ_1^{\text{max}}}{\Delta_1 + \Delta_5 - 2zQ_1^{\text{max}}} = a$$

In good approximation<sup>14</sup> for the quantity  $zQ_1^{\text{max}}$  may be taken the shift difference for the *ortho* protons H<sub>6</sub> and or

H<sub>10</sub> of ring B resulting from complexation of the sulfine with *z* equiv. of Eu(dpm)<sub>3</sub>. By means of equation (4) the proportion of rotamer P in the complexed state can be obtained<sup>15</sup> from the observed LI shifts. The figure shows these shifts as they were found for sulfine Ib in CCl<sub>4</sub>. The results thus obtained for the four sulfines in different solvents are listed in the Table, which also includes the rotamer populations in the uncomplexed state<sup>9</sup>.



The data in the Table reveal that in the three different solvents studied the proportion of conformer P in the complexed state is much smaller<sup>17</sup> than in the uncomplexed state. Thus, as well for sulfines Ia and Ib for which rotamer P is the more polar one, as for sulfines Ic and Id for which rotamer Q is the more polar one, complexation with  $\text{Eu(dpm)}_3$  leads to a larger preference for rotamer Q. This effect cannot be due to changes in polarity of the medium caused by the presence of the shift reagent because the behaviour of the sulfines Ia and Ib towards changes in medium polarity is opposite to that of the sulfines Ic and Id (*vide supra*). A likely explanation for the effect of  $\text{Eu(dpm)}_3$  on the conformational

TABLE

Compd.	Ia			Ib			Ic			Id		
Solvent	$\Delta_1$	$\Delta_5$	$\Delta_{6,10}$	$\Delta_1$	$\Delta_5$	$\Delta_{6,10}$	$\Delta_1$	$\Delta_5$	$\Delta_{6,10}$	$\Delta_1$	$\Delta_5$	$\Delta_{6,10}$
$\text{CCl}_4$	5.57	9.35	2.70				9.40	6.80	2.90	7.81	8.79	3.00
$\text{COCl}_3$	3.47	5.72	1.60	2.14	5.05	1.40				5.16	6.03	1.90
$\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$	2.80	4.30	1.25	1.21	2.55	0.70	3.46	3.17	-1.15	3.80	4.77	1.45
	% $P_c$ % $P_u$			% $P_c$ % $P_u$			% $P_c$ % $P_u$			% $P_c$ % $P_u$		
$\text{CCl}_4$	29 45						63 100			45 72		
$\text{CDCl}_3$	31			19 16						43.5		
$\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$	34 70 <sup>a</sup>			22 40 <sup>a</sup>			54			41		
$\text{CH}_3\text{COCH}_3$	100			80			83			56		

%  $P_c$  = percentage of conformer P in the complexed state; %  $P_u$  = percentage of conformer P in the uncomplexed state.  $\Delta$ -values in ppm obtained upon addition of 0.8 equiv. of  $\text{Eu(dpm)}_3$ . Spectra recorded at 60 Mhz. Conc. 20 mg/0.5 ml. a: see note 16.

equilibrium is that steric interactions between the *meta* substituent X and the coordinated shift reagent result in a larger proportion of rotamer Q in which such interactions are minimized. The data in the Table show further that in the complexed sulfines the proportion of the more polar conformer (P for Ia and Ib, Q for Ic and Id) becomes larger in a solvent of a higher dielectric constant. Thus, in the complexed as well as in the uncomplexed state the conformational equilibrium shifts to the more polar rotamer with increasing solvent polarity. For the sulfines Ic and Id the difference in solvent effect on the conformer population in the complexed and uncomplexed state is small. However, in the uncomplexed sulfines Ia and Ib the effect of solvent polarity on the conformational equilibrium is large while in the complexed state this effect has become much smaller. Apparently, in the latter sulfines the steric effects caused by complexation with the shift reagent are dominating over the solvent effect.

In conclusion this study shows that mobile conformational equilibria can considerably be perturbed by complexation with lanthanides and that utmost care should be taken in studying such equilibria with the use of shift reagents.

#### REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. Part 26 in the series "Chemistry of Sulfines", part 25, see ref. 9.
2. R. von Ammon and R.D. Fischer, *Angew. Chem.*, **84**, 737 (1972).
3. R.R. Fraser and Y.Y. Wigfield, *Chem. Comm.*, 1471 (1970).
4. T.H. Siddall, *Chem. Comm.*, 452 (1971).
5. A.J. Dale, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, **26**, 2985 (1972).
6. P. Granger, M.M. Claudon and J.F. Guinet, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 4167 (1971).
7. N. Platzer, J.J. Basselier and P. Demerseman, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 1717 (1973).
8. W.G. Benitude, H.W. Tan and K.C. Yee, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **94**, 3264 (1972).
9. A. Tangerman and B. Zwanenburg, *J.C.S. Perkin II*, submitted for publication (part 25 in this series).
10. The position of phenyl ring B cannot be specified, because H<sub>6</sub> and H<sub>10</sub> do not show up sufficiently different in the spectra for reasons that the anisotropic effect of the CSO system on these protons is negligible.
11. A. Tangerman and B. Zwanenburg, *J.C.S. Perkin II*, submitted for publication (part 24 in this series).
12. A. Tangerman and B. Zwanenburg, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 79 (1973).
13.  $\Delta_1$  reflects the LI shift of proton H<sub>1</sub> for the same proportion of rotamers in the uncomplexed and complexed state, which *a priori* will not be the case. However, the formulation as given in the equations (1) and (2) is allowed, because in comparison with the magnitude of the LI shift  $\delta(H_1)_{\text{free}}$  will vary only little when the rotamer ratio in the uncomplexed state becomes different from that in the complexed state.
14. The distance of the coordinated Eu(dpm)<sub>3</sub> to proton H<sub>1</sub> in the Q conformer or to proton H<sub>5</sub> in the P conformer is about the same as the distance of Eu(dpm)<sub>3</sub> to the *ortho* protons of ring B. Moreover, the value of  $Q_1^{\text{max}}$  is small in comparison with that of  $P_1^{\text{max}}$  or  $Q_5^{\text{max}}$ , which means that a rather large deviation in  $Q_1^{\text{max}}$  leads to only a small change in  $\alpha$ .
15. The percentage of P in the complexed state has been calculated for different amounts of added shift reagent. The same value was obtained as is predicted from equation (4).
16. These percentages are derived from the spectra in dichloromethane in the manner as described in ref. 9 without making a correction for the solvent effects.
17. An exception is sulfine Ib in CDCl<sub>3</sub> which has already predominantly conformation Q in the uncomplexed state.